

Approved For Release 2001/03/07 : CIA-RDP96-00788R000400120001-5

NOT RELEASABLE TO FOREIGN NATIONALS

IAOPS-H-S

SUBJECT: SPECIAL ANALYTIC REPORT INFORMATION (U)

24 October 1980
(Date)

SECTION I

REPORT REQUIREMENT (D-54)

CLASSIFIED BY: MSG, HQDA (DAMI-ISH)
Dtd: 051630Z JUL 78
Review On: 24 October 2000

Approved For Release 2001-09-07 : CIA : ~~DDP96~~ 00788R000400120001-5

~~SECRET~~

Approved For Release 2001/03/07 : CIA-RDP96-00788R000400120001-5

NOT RELEASABLE TO FOREIGN NATIONALS

SG1B

12. (S) Specific information requested by analyst:
a. [REDACTED]

13. (U) Date information forwarded: 24 SEP 80.

14. (U) Has additional data been requested: YES NO

15. (U) Priority: URGENT ROUTINE _____.

16. () Remarks:

SECTION II

INSTRUCTIONS TO ANALYSTS

1. (U) The material you have been given to review has been acquired from a new and potentially valuable source of intelligence. Work is currently being conducted on how to improve the accuracy and reliability of the data. Your attention to the evaluation sheet and your remarks will be the basis for our assessment of this new collection technique. Therefore, the effort you expend will greatly assist us and will ultimately result in you receiving more information of increasing accuracy and reliability.

2. (U) While formulating your judgements concerning the information provided, the following comments concerning this new source of intelligence may be helpful. Foremost, the information is likely to consist of a mixture of correct and incorrect elements. Specifically:

a. (S) The descriptive elements are generally of higher reliability than judgements or labels as to what is being described (recreational swimming pool may be mistaken for water purification pools, an aircraft hull may be mistaken for a submarine hull, etc.). Therefore, seemingly appropriate descriptive elements should not be rejected because of mislabeling.

b. (S) The data often contains gaps (in a 3-building complex, for example, perhaps only two of the buildings may be described, and an airfield may be added that isn't there). Such gaps or additions should not be taken to mean that the rest of the data is necessarily inaccurate.

3. (S) Therefore, a recommended approach is to first examine the entire information packet to obtain an overall flavor of the response, reserving final judgement even in the face of certain errors, and then go back through for a more detailed analysis.

4. (U) If you have questions regarding the data you have received, or its evaluation please contact this office (IAOPS-H-S) at any time.

~~SECRET~~

Approved For Release 2001/03/07 : CIA-RDP96-00788R000400120001-5